

Applicants have requested non-prejudicial canceling of claims 23, 24, 46-51, and 55, the 35 U.S.C. §102(e) rejection is moot.

35 U.S.C. 103 (a)

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 25-36 and 52-54 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Huang et al.* in view of *Normile et al.* (U.S. Patent 5,822,465). Since Applicants have requested non-prejudicial canceling of claims 25-36 and 52-54, the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejection is moot.

Conclusion

Based on the foregoing remarks and amendments, Applicants believe that the rejections in the Final Office Action of February 25, 2003 are fully overcome and that the application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions regarding the case, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Konstantine I. Iourcha et al.

Date: May 2, 2003

By: 

Bradley W. Scheer, Reg. No. 47,059
Carr & Ferrell LLP
2225 East Bayshore Road, Suite 200
Palo Alto, CA 94303
Phone: (650) 812-3400
Fax: (650) 812-3444